

September 2025

BUILDING THE TRUST

Investment Management



**Teacher Retirement System of
Texas**
4655 Muller Blvd.
Austin, Texas
78723

**TEACHER RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF TEXAS MEETING
BOARD OF TRUSTEES
AND
INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE**

*All or part of the September 18, 2025, meeting of the TRS Investment Management Committee and Board of Trustees may be held by telephone or video conference call as authorized under Sections 551.130 and 551.127 of the Texas Government Code. The Board intends to have a quorum and the presiding officer of the meeting physically present at the following location, which will be open to the public during the open portions of the meeting: **4655 Mueller Blvd, 2nd Floor, Boardroom.***

The open portions of the September 18, 2025, meeting are being broadcast over the Internet. Access to the Internet broadcast and agenda materials of the meeting are provided at www.trs.texas.gov. A recording of the meeting will be available at www.trs.texas.gov.

**AGENDA
September 18, 2025 – 10:00 a.m.**

1. Call roll of Committee members.
2. Consider the approval of the proposed minutes of the July 2025 committee meeting – Committee Chair.
3. CIO Update including Talent Management; Accomplishments; Notices; Key Dates and Upcoming Events – Jase Auby.
4. Receive presentation on Developments in the Artificial Intelligence Landscape – Greg Jensen, Bridgewater Associates.
5. Discuss the Second Quarter 2025 Performance Review – Ashley Woeste, Aon.
6. Review of the Semi-annual Risk Report – James Nield and Stephen Kim.

NOTE: The Board of Trustees (Board) of the Teacher Retirement System of Texas will not consider or act upon any item before the Investment Management Committee (Committee) at this meeting of the Committee. This meeting is not a regular meeting of the Board. However, because the full Committee constitutes a quorum of the Board, the meeting of the Committee is also being posted as a meeting of the Board out of an abundance of caution.

**Minutes of the Investment Management Committee
July 17, 2025**

The Investment Management Committee of the Board of Trustees of the Teacher Retirement System of Texas met on Thursday, July 17, 2025, in the boardroom located on the Second Floor of TRS' offices located at 4655 Mueller Blvd, Austin, Texas, 78723.

Committee members present:

Mr. Mike Ball, Acting-Chair
Mr. John Elliott
Mr. Robert H. Walls, Jr.
Mr. Elvis Williams

Other TRS Board Members present:

Ms. Brittney Allred
Ms. Laronda Graf
Mr. John R. Rutherford

Others present:

Brian Guthrie, TRS	Ashley Woeste, Aon
Caasi Lamb, TRS	Mike Comstock, Aon
Heather Traeger, TRS	Josh Wilson, Mercer (virtual)
Jase Auby, TRS	Susan Lemke, Mercer (virtual)
Amanda Jenami, TRS	
Don Green, TRS	
Katy Hoffman, TRS	
Eric Lang, TRS	
Carolyn Hansard, TRS	
Neil Randall, TRS	
Grant Walker, TRS	
Katherine Farrell, TRS	
Suzanne Dugan, Cohen Milstein	
Dr. Keith Brown, Board Advisor	

Investment Management Committee Acting-Chair, Mr. Mike Ball, called the meeting to order at 5:25 p.m.

1. Call roll of Committee members.

Ms. Farrell called the roll. A quorum was present, Mr. Corpus was absent.

2. Consider the approval of the proposed minutes of the April 2025, Investment Management Committee meeting – Mike Ball.

On a motion by Mr. Elliott, seconded by Mr. Walls, the committee approved the proposed minutes for the April 2025 Investment Management Committee meeting as presented.

3. CIO Update including Talent Management; Accomplishments; Notices; Key Dates and Upcoming Events – Jase Auby.

Mr. Jase Auby reported the completion of the biannual base compensation review for IMD employees. He said this is done every two year performed by McLagan with active participation of Organizational Excellence and the Board’s compensation consultant, Mercer. Ms. Susan Lemke of Mercer stated it was a very collaborative process and they were comfortable with the methodology used and the results. Mr. Auby concluded his CIO update by noting attrition had increased.

4. Discuss the First Quarter 2025 Performance Review – Mike Comstock and Ashley Woeste, Aon.

Mr. Mike Comstock began by reporting on how global equity represents 57 percent of the assets and drives a lot of the Trust Fund performance. He said compared to peers, TRS has a non-US overweight which had been a headwind but now has turned into a tailwind of late and was especially additive. He reported long Treasuries up 4.7 percent in the first quarter. He said the real return portfolio has stabilized and the outlook for real estate is much more favorable than over the past few years. Ms. Ashley Woeste reported the total market value of the fund as \$208 billion. She said the asset allocation is in line with the interim policy targets with slight underweights to public equity and TIPS. She reviewed performance compared to the benchmark. She said risk parity, a small allocation, was the best absolute performing asset class over the quarter, up over four percent.

5. Annual Review of External Private Markets – Eric Lang, Neil Randall, Carolyn Hansard and Grant Walker.

Mr. Eric Lang reviewed the three tenets of the private markets team: transparency, innovation and industry leadership. He said the long-term allocation for private equity is 12 percent of the trust, which was changed by trustees in the last SAA, down from 14 percent. He reviewed the four teams that make up private markets: ENRI, private equity, real estate and data analytics. He said the five-year returns was 12 percent across the portfolio.

Ms. Carolyn Hansard provided an overview of the Energy, Natural Resources, and Infrastructure (ENRI) portfolio noting it delivered 14.4 percent returns for the year, 8.3 percent above benchmark. She said the target weight between the energy and infrastructure portfolios is 50 – 50. She reported energy as slightly below, at 38.3 by end of last year. She reported 22.2 percent returns on a one-year basis for the energy portfolio. She noted energy is a volatile space and that’s where the infrastructure portfolio comes in providing much stabler returns. She said for the year the infrastructure portfolio had a 9.8 return. She concluded with a spotlight topic regarding midstream, an area where there is exposure in both the energy and infrastructure portfolios.

Mr. Neil Randall provided an overview of the private equity (PE) portfolio noting the allocation was moving down to the 12 percent set by the Board last year as part of the asset allocation study. He said performance on a one-year basis was 7.1 percent. He concluded with a spotlight topic on retail capital and its increasing role in the private equity industry.

Mr. Grant Walker provided an overview on the real estate portfolio. He said real estate continues to be challenged by higher interest rates and lower valuations. He reported a negative return of 2.6 percent. He said 53 percent of the portfolio was in principal investments and 46 was funds, noting the target was 50 percent of principal investments in the portfolio. He concluded with a spotlight topic on retail investor impact on the real estate industry.

There being no more business before the Investment Management Committee, the committee adjourned at 6:10 p.m.

Approved by the Investment Management Committee of the Board of Trustees of the Teacher Retirement System of Texas on September __, 2025.

Katherine H. Farrell
Secretary to the Board of Trustees
Teacher Retirement Systems of Texas

Date

CIO Update

Jase Auby, Chief Investment Officer

September 2025



IMD at a Glance

Priorities


- **Performance.** Trust ended the second quarter of 2025 with a 1-year return of 10.8% and +140 bp of excess return. The 3-year return is 7.9% with +169 bp of excess return
- **Annual Reviews.** Completed Management Committee (MC) Annual Offsite Talent Review
- **Engagement.** Presenting at Texas Retired Teacher Association (TRTA) regional conferences to share key initiatives, performance, and engage with plan members
- **Performance Pay Plans.** Collaborated with Organizational Excellence (OE) on the annual review of Performance Pay Plans
- **Board Advisor.** Hosted Meketa to begin on-boarding and provide an overview of investment processes and portfolios

Our People

Snapshot as of July 2025

IMD FTEs	254
Contractors	5

Attrition Trend 2018 - 2025 (As of July 2025)



Special Topic Overview


Special Topics

Topic	Date	Topic	Date
1 Recession	September 2017	10 China	February 2022
2 Growth	February 2018	11 Sentiment	September 2022
3 Inflation	September 2018	12 Foreign Currency	February 2023
4 Strategic Asset Allocation	February 2019	13 Artificial Intelligence	September 2023
5 Value	September 2019	14 Strategic Asset Allocation	February 2024
6 Diversification	February 2020	15 Corporate Earnings	September 2024
7 Interest Rates	September 2020	16 US Federal Debt	February 2025
8 Long-Term Investing	February 2021	17 Artificial Intelligence (Pt. 2)	September 2025
9 Commodities	September 2021		

Recession (Topic 1)

As of 7/31/25


US Recession Probability (% Next 12 Months)



Corporate Earnings (Topic 15)

As of 7/31/25

YoY S&P 500 Earnings Growth Rates



Foreign Currency (Topic 12)

As of 7/31/25


US Trade Weighted Dollar



AI leaders have driven the bulk of market performance


The largest stocks with AI exposure have driven the bulk of S&P 500 performance since ChatGPT was released...

Performance of Mag 7 Stocks (Indexed to 100 on 1/1/2021, Price Return)



...as capital spending from major AI leaders is ramping up to meet massive infrastructure needs


Major AI Hyperscaler Capital Expenditures (Capex)



An arms race is underway to fuel growing AI demand


AI platform subscriptions are surging, driving widespread adoption among businesses...

Share of US Businesses With Subscriptions to AI Models



... as the adoption rate of generative AI products at work and home continues to grow

Adoption Rate of Generative AI at Work and Home



Source: Ramp AI Index (LHS), Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis (RHS)

Exploring the potential of AI to shape the future of the Trust

Current State Update

- Widespread demand across the IMD to implement AI
- Pilot AI program launched in Private Markets
- Now common that our external managers are prioritizing AI across research and operations
- Top five public equity holdings are global AI leaders
 - *Nvidia, Microsoft, Apple, Amazon.com, Alphabet*




Future State Considerations

- IMD has identified 24 potential AI use cases that could drive efficiency, enhance decision-making, and strengthen risk management in four categories

Investment Research	Workflow Automation
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Proactive portfolio monitoring• Macro, peer, and consultant research synthesis• TRS GPT (internal LLM for knowledge retrieval)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Memo creation assistant• Real-time note-taking and meeting summarization• AI email and calendar management
Software Development	Quantitative Modeling
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Semantic search across codebases• Python frameworks for LLM workflows• Pre-trained LLMs for code/document analysis	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Machine learning on structured and time series data• Geopolitical risk agent analyzing unstructured news• Forecasting models for market behavior

Global peer adoption of AI is accelerating

- According to an Accenture survey, **78%** of asset management firms have begun using generative AI and **41%** state they are currently scaling adoption within core parts of their business
- The following pension institutions have recently shared AI-related strategies, tools, or initiatives with their boards or with the public

Peer	Total Assets (\$ billions)	Recent AI Developments
	\$367	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Broad Strategy</u>: Includes governance, training, and high-impact use cases across operations and investments • <u>AI Boot Camp</u>: launching in late 2025 to upskill employees and support adoption
	\$1,738	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Mandatory AI Adoption</u>: Employees must use AI tools in their daily work. CEO Nicolai Tangen has stated, “If you don’t use it, you will never be promoted” • <u>Multiple Models</u>: Claude (Anthropic), Microsoft Copilot, Perplexity, Cursor, OpenAI Deep Research, and Google AI are widely used by employees
	\$1,075	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Operational Due Diligence (ODD) Agent</u>: Automates due diligence prep, drafts reports, generates meeting questions, and flags missing data • <u>Investment Committee (IC) Companion</u>: Offers deal analysis and perspectives tailored to different decision-making personas. • <u>General Partner One Pager</u>: Summarizes key GP data (bios, performance) for analyst use

Welcome Greg Jensen, Bridgewater Associates

Greg Jensen

Co-Chief Investment Officer, Bridgewater Associates

- Co-leads one of the world's largest hedge funds with \$92 billion under management
- TRS first invested with Bridgewater in 2009 through the firm's Pure Alpha macro hedge fund strategy
- Oversees Bridgewater's AI strategy, including the Artificial Investment Associate Labs, integrating machine learning into investment processes
- Personally backed OpenAI in its first funding round nearly a decade ago and later became one of the earliest investors in Anthropic
- Named three times to Fortune Magazine's "Top 40 Under 40," and in 2023, named to Insider's "AI 100: The Top People in Artificial Intelligence."

AI Generated Image





Investing on the Edge of a Productivity Miracle

Greg Jensen

CIO, Bridgewater Associates & AIA Labs


September 2025

Agenda


1. The Current AI Landscape
2. AI at Bridgewater
 - “Rebuilding the factory” in AIA Labs
 - Integrating AI into our existing process

The Intelligence Is Here, and Progress Is Not Slowing

PhD-Level Science Questions
(GPQA Diamond)



Model Performance on US Math Olympiad Selection Exam




All data on GPT-5, GPT-4o and o3 are from OpenAI. Data on Gemini 2.5 Pro (update) is from Google DeepMind. Data on DeepSeek-R1 (update) is from DeepSeek. Data on Grok 3 is from xAI. Data on Claude 4 Opus is from Anthropic. Mock AIME scores from Epoch AI. Please review the "Important Disclosures and Other Information" located at the end of this presentation.


LLMs Have Struggled with Systematic Reasoning

- The International Math Olympiad (IMO) has been a particularly challenging math benchmark for LLMs, because it tests for mathematical reasoning and not just end results

Leading Models Performance on the IMO




**Prediction Market Odds:
AI Wins an IMO Gold Medal in 2025?**




The Latest IMO Gold Medal Reflects Systematic Reasoning

- Both Google and OpenAI just achieved gold at IMO 2025 with general experimental models

Leading Models Performance on the IMO




Prediction Market Odds: AI Wins an IMO Gold Medal in 2025?



IMO data shown from MathArena (<https://matharena.ai>), OpenAI and Google DeepMind. Manifold data from Manifold website (<https://manifold.markets/jack/will-an-ai-win-a-gold-medal-on-imo>). Please review the "Important Disclosures and Other Information" located at the end of this presentation.

How Technology Impacts Productivity and Growth



We Are Harnessing AI For Productivity Gains at All Levels


Philosophy

Fundamental


Systematic

Diversified

Pure Alpha: Deploying AI to Retool the Factory



AIA Labs: Rebuilding the Factory



For illustrative purposes only. Please review the "Important Disclosures and Other Information" located at the end of this presentation.

Challenges of Using AI to Trade Markets


- Small data sample size.
- Low signal-to-noise.
- Actions of the players change the game.
- Only one “simulation” of the world.

In short: **the future is not like the past.**

Rebuilding the Factory: AIA's Design

AIA combines state-of-the-art AI and ML tools to create a **Reasoning Engine** that is designed to be:

- Grounded in **causal reasoning**
- **Diagnosable**
- **Self-improving**



Harnessing Unstructured Data: Example Forecasts

Will Shigeru Ishiba remain the prime minister of Japan on January 1, 2026?

72%


Probability
Across 10 Runs



*Will the Dallas Cowboys make the playoffs in 2026?**

34%


Probability
Across 10 Runs



Will the US enter a recession in the next six months?

14%


Probability
Across 10 Runs



Will more than half of US pension funds be using LLMs to inform their investment thinking by the end of 2027?

62%


Probability
Across 10 Runs



Will OpenAI be profitable by the end of this decade?

58%


Probability
Across 10 Runs



Will the UK lower its interest rate below 3% by the end of 2026?

28%

Probability
Across 10 Runs




*Forecaster rightly notes that the base rate for any given NFL team to make the playoffs is 44% (14 out of 32 teams).

Retooling the Factory: A New Frontier for Our Existing Process


We've always pushed the bounds of technology to systemize human thinking and now are leveraging AI to (among other things):

1. Reason intelligently over unstructured data at scale, enabling systemization of new indicators
2. Create an "analyst in your pocket" to accelerate our process, consistent with how our analysts currently work


1980 Bond System



Pure Alpha



AIA



Appendix

AIA Labs: Team

Leadership



Greg Jensen

Bridgewater Co-CIO; CIO, AIA Labs

Greg joined Bridgewater in 1996 and has been Co-CIO since 2011. As Co-Chief Investment Officer, Greg oversees Bridgewater's investment strategies and research efforts and is integrally involved in overseeing Bridgewater's investment engine and investment talent. Greg also won a gold bracelet at the 2022 World Series of Poker. In 2010, 2011, and 2012, Greg was named one of business's rising stars in Fortune Magazine's "Top 40 Under 40," and in 2023, he was named to Insider's "AI 100: The Top People in Artificial Intelligence."



Jas Sekhon

Chief Scientist, AIA Labs
Yale University: Eugene Meyer Prof. of Statistics & Data Science

Jasjeet Sekhon joined Bridgewater in 2018 as the head of Machine Learning. As an internationally-renowned expert, Jas specializes in creating new methods for causal inference and developing interpretable and credible machine-learning techniques for estimating causal relationships when faced with limited and diverse data. He has published many highly-cited research papers and produced methods that are widely used in industry and are part of machine learning platforms at Amazon, Google, Microsoft, and Netflix, among others.

Team

Investment

Nina Lozinski | Oliver Simon
+team of 5 investors

Technology

Aaron Linsky
+team of 12 technologists

Science

Jas Sekhon
+team of 5 scientists

Client Service

Team of 4 client-facing professionals

Engineering

Linan Qiu
+team of 5 engineers

Operations

Team of 5 operations professionals

External Partners

ANTHROPIC



**2 EXTERNAL
ACADEMIC
CONSULTANTS**



Important Disclosures and Other Information

Please read carefully the following important disclosures and other information as they provide additional information relevant to understanding the assumptions, research and performance information presented herein. Additional information is available upon request except where the proprietary nature of the information precludes its dissemination.

Important Disclosures

This presentation contains proprietary information regarding Bridgewater Associates, LP (“Bridgewater”) and the strategies Bridgewater manages and is being furnished on a confidential basis to a sophisticated prospective investor for the purpose of evaluating an investment with Bridgewater. By accepting this presentation, the prospective investor agrees that it (and each employee, representative or other agent of such prospective investor) will use the information only to evaluate its potential interest in a fund or strategy described herein and for no other purpose and will not divulge any such information to any other party. No part of this presentation may be (i) copied, photocopied or duplicated in any form by any means or (ii) redistributed without the prior written consent of Bridgewater. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, a prospective investor, and each employee, representative or other agent of such prospective investor, may disclose to any and all persons, without limitation of any kind, the US federal and state income tax treatment and tax structure of a fund described herein (and any of the transactions contemplated hereby) and all materials of any kind (including opinions or other tax analyses) that are provided to a prospective investor relating to such US federal and state income tax treatment and tax structure.

This presentation has been prepared solely for informational purposes and is not an offer to buy or sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any security or to participate in any trading strategy. Any such offering, will be made pursuant to a definitive offering memorandum (the “OM”) which will contain the terms and risks of making an investment with Bridgewater in the relevant fund and other material information not contained herein and which will supersede this information in its entirety. In the event of any discrepancy between the information shown in this presentation and the OM, the OM will prevail. Investors should not construe the contents of this presentation as legal, tax, accounting, investment or other advice. Any decision to invest in a Bridgewater fund or strategy described herein should be made after carefully reviewing the OM (including the risks described therein) and all other related documents, conducting such investigations as the prospective investor deems necessary and consulting such investor’s own investment, legal, accounting and tax advisors in order to make an independent determination of the suitability and consequences of an investment in such fund or strategy.

An investment in any Bridgewater fund or strategy involves significant risks and there can be no assurance that any fund or strategy will achieve its investment objective or any targets or that investors will receive any return of their capital. An investment in any Bridgewater fund or strategy is suitable only for sophisticated investors and requires the financial ability and willingness to accept the high risks inherent in such an investment (including the risk of loss of their entire investment) for an indefinite period of time. **Past performance is not indicative of future results.**

This presentation and the OM will only be made available to persons or entities who are “accredited investors” under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and “qualified purchasers” under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. The distribution of this presentation and the OM may be restricted by law in certain jurisdictions, and it is the responsibility of persons into whose possession this presentation or the OM comes to inform themselves about, and observe, any such restrictions.

The shares in the fund may not be offered or sold to the public in Brazil. Accordingly, the shares in the fund have not been nor will be registered with the Brazilian Securities Commission - CVM nor have they been submitted to the foregoing agency for approval. Documents relating to the shares in the fund, as well as the information contained therein, may not be supplied to the public in Brazil, as the offering of shares in the fund is not a public offering of securities in Brazil, nor used in connection with any offer for subscription or sale of securities to the public in Brazil.

Certain information contained herein constitutes forward-looking statements (including projections, targets, hypotheticals, ratios, estimates, returns, performance, opinions, activity and other events contained or referenced herein), which can be identified by the use of terms such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “project,” “estimate,” “intend,” “continue” or “believe” or other variations (or their negatives) thereof. Due to various risks, assumptions, uncertainties and actual events, including those discussed herein and in the OM, actual results, returns or performance can differ materially from those reflected or contemplated in such forward-looking statements. As a result, prospective investors should not rely on such forward-looking statements in making their investment decisions. While Bridgewater believes that there is a sound basis for these forward-looking statements, no representations are made as to their accuracy, and there can be no assurance that such results will be achieved. Any forward-looking statements contained herein reflect Bridgewater’s current judgment and assumptions which may change in the future, and Bridgewater has no obligation to update or amend such forward-looking statements.

Bridgewater’s investment process seeks to understand the cause and effect linkages that drive markets over time. To assess and refine its understanding of these linkages, Bridgewater performs historical stress-tests across a wide range of timeframes and market environments. From these stress-tests, Bridgewater is able to simulate how its strategies would have performed prior to their inception. Bridgewater has the ability to run multiple simulations and select the simulation with the best results, returns or performance. For strategies that include active decision making, Bridgewater often “humbles” its simulated alpha returns (by systematically adjusting downward the simulated results that Bridgewater’s current alpha investment logic produces) to account for the possibility that it could be wrong. Because this stress-testing is a core component of Bridgewater’s investment process, it shares these simulations with current and prospective investors to demonstrate its thinking. However, because they do not demonstrate actual results, these simulations are hypothetical, and inherently limited and should not be relied upon to make an investment decision.

The recipient should not solely rely upon these hypothetical performance results in making an investment decision. In constructing hypothetical performance and determining their appropriateness for use in materials, Bridgewater has an incentive to do so in a manner that shows beneficial characteristics of a given, hypothetical return stream.

All hypothetical performance is subject to revision and provided solely as a guide to current expectations. The recipient should not solely rely upon these hypothetical performance results in making an investment decision. Hypothetical performance results can provide insight into the level of risk that a strategy will seek with respect to its investments, with higher hypothetical performance results generally reflecting greater risk. Some or all results may be substantially lower than these hypothetical results and, as with any investment, there is a risk of loss of the entire investment.

Hypothetical performance results rely on numerous criteria, assumptions, risks and limitations and are inherently uncertain. There are multiple assumptions and possible adjustments Bridgewater may make in its underlying calculations that are reasonable, but other criteria, assumptions, methodologies and adjustments could also be reasonable and could lead to materially different and lower actual results and higher risks than those presented. In addition, the hypothetical performance results may prove to be invalid, inaccurate, incomplete or change without notice. Variation in any of these factors (or factors or events that are unknown or unaccounted for) could cause actual returns to substantially differ. In constructing hypothetical returns and determining their appropriateness for use in materials, Bridgewater has an incentive to do so in a manner that shows beneficial characteristics of a given, hypothetical return stream. Furthermore, any hypothetical or mathematical calculations or data might contain errors, and could rely on third-party inputs, which Bridgewater believes to be reliable but whose accuracy cannot be guaranteed.

While Bridgewater believes that there is a sound basis for these hypothetical performance results, no representations are made as to their accuracy, and there can be no assurance that such results will be achieved. This presentation will not be updated or amended even if there are changes in the information or processes upon which they rely.

Bridgewater believes that a particular return stream should be evaluated against its expected performance or its benchmark. To that end, Bridgewater demonstrates whether its strategies are operating as expected via a cone chart, which shows the performance of a particular strategy over time relative to the strategy’s benchmark and also within bands of standard deviation from that benchmark. Separately, to demonstrate the impact of market conditions on the strategies it manages, Bridgewater explains the macro-economic pressures and market conditions that effected performance in the context of client letters, account reviews, or other publications that Bridgewater provides to each current and prospective investor on a regular basis. Additional information about how Bridgewater thinks about setting expectations for its strategies via a benchmark is available upon request.

Any tables, graphs or charts relating to past performance, whether hypothetical, simulated or actual, included in this presentation are intended only to illustrate the performance of indices, strategies, or specific accounts for the historical periods shown. When creating such tables, graphs and charts, Bridgewater may incorporate assumptions on trading, positions, transactions costs, market impact estimations and the benefit of hindsight. For example, transaction cost estimates used in simulations are based on historical measured costs and/or modeled costs, and attribution is derived from a process of attributing positions held at a point in time to specific market views and is inherently imprecise. Such tables, graphs and charts are not intended to predict future performance and should not be used as a basis for making any investment decision. Bridgewater has no obligation to update or amend such tables, graphs or charts.

Important Disclosures

Statements regarding target performance or target ratios related to assumed risk budgets, liabilities, volatility, target volatility, tracking error or other targets are hypothetical in nature and should not be considered a guarantee that such results can or will be achieved. For example, Bridgewater may adjust returns to match, for instance, the annualized standard deviation of two or more return series but this adjustment does not suggest that the returns or assets are similar with respect to other aspects of the risk such as liquidity risk. Any statements with respect to the ability to risk match or risk adjust in the future are not a guarantee that the realized risks will be similar and material divergences could occur. All performance and risk targets contained herein are subject to revision by Bridgewater and are provided solely as a guide to current targets.

Discussions related to the risk controlling capabilities of low risk portfolios, diversification, passive investing, risk management, risk adjusting, and any other risk control theories, statements, measures, calculations and policies contained herein should not be construed as a statement that Bridgewater has the ability to control all risk or that the investments or instruments discussed are low or will lower any risk. Active trading comes with a monetary cost and high risk and there is no guarantee the cost of trading will not have a materially adverse impact on any account, fund, portfolio or other structure. Bridgewater manages accounts, funds and strategies not referred to herein. For such accounts, funds and strategies, and, even where accounts, funds or strategies are traded similarly, performance may materially diverge based on, among other factors, timing, the approved instruments, markets, and target risk for each strategy or market. The price and value of the investments referred to in this presentation and the income, if any, derived therefrom may fluctuate.

Bridgewater uses different types of performance in its materials. Unless otherwise indicated, such types of performance can be understood as follows:

Hypothetical Performance refers to any return stream that is not actual performance. Hypothetical performance includes, for example, Simulated Performance and Related Fund or Related Share Class Performance.

Simulated Performance refers to hypothetical performance that shows the returns of a Bridgewater strategy prior to its inception date. Simulated performance does not reflect actual trading by Bridgewater and is constructed by applying Bridgewater's investment management process to available market data.

Related Fund or Related Share Class Performance refers to actual performance that has been adjusted to account for volatility and/or currency differences. Because it is based on actual performance, Related Fund or Related Share Class Performance does reflect actual trading by Bridgewater, which has been adjusted on the basis of currency, volatility, or both and is thus hypothetical. Note that the terms Related Fund or Related Share Class Performance are not being used in the same way that the term 'Related Performance' is used in the Securities and Exchange Commission's Marketing Rule.

Statistical and mathematical measures of performance and risk measures based on past performance, market assumptions or any other input should not be relied upon as indicators of future results. While Bridgewater believes the assumptions and possible adjustments it may make in making the underlying calculations are reasonable, other assumptions, methodologies and adjustments could have been made that are reasonable and would result in materially different results, including materially lower results. Where shown, targeted performance and the abilities and capabilities of the active and passive management approaches discussed herein are based on Bridgewater's analysis of market data, quantitative research of the underlying forces that influence asset classes as well as management policies and objectives, all of which are subject to change. The material contained herein may exhibit the potential for attractive returns, however it also involves a corresponding high degree of risk. Targeted performance, whether mathematically based or theoretical, is considered hypothetical and is subject to inherent limitations such as the impact of concurrent economic or geo-political elements, forces of nature, war and other factors not addressed in the analysis, such as lack of liquidity. Please see additional discussions of hypothetical performance herein for important information on the risks and limitations thereof. There is no guarantee that the targeted performance for any fund or strategy shown herein can or will be achieved. A broad range of risk factors, individually or collectively, could cause a fund or strategy to fail to meet its investment objectives and/or targeted returns, volatilities or correlations.

Where shown, information related to markets traded may not necessarily indicate the actual historical or current strategies of Bridgewater. Markets listed might not be currently traded and are subject to change without notice. Markets listed are used for illustrative purposes, may not represent the universe of markets traded or results available and may not include actual trading results of Bridgewater. Other markets or trading, not shown herein, can have had materially different results. Attribution of performance or designation of markets and the analysis of performance or other performance with respect to scenario analysis or the determination of biases is based on Bridgewater's analysis. Statements made with respect to the ability of Bridgewater, a fund, a strategy, a market or instrument to perform in relation to any other market, instrument or manager in absolute terms or in any specific manner in the future or any specified time period are not a guarantee of the desired or targeted result.

Where shown, expected ratios and similar risk and returns analytics are provided to reflect the range of reasonably likely long-term, risk and return characteristics of a given strategy. Accordingly, such figures and analytics do not reflect performance targets or performance projections at (any) given point(s). Note that, at any point in time, risk and/or return of a strategy can differ, sometimes materially, from long term expected return and risk characteristics.

Bridgewater research utilizes data and information from public, private, and internal sources, including data from actual Bridgewater trades. Sources include BCA, Bloomberg Finance L.P., Bond Radar, Candean, CEIC Data Company Ltd., Ceras Analytics, China Bull Research, Clarus Financial Technology, CLS Processing Solutions, Conference Board of Canada, Consensus Economics Inc., DTCC Data Repository, Ecoanalitica, Empirical Research Partners, Energy Aspects Corp, Entis (Axioma Qontigo Simcorp), Enverus, EPFR Global, Eurasia Group, Evercore ISI, FactSet Research Systems, Fastmarkets Global Limited, The Financial Times Limited, Finaeon, Inc., FINRA, GaveKal Research Ltd., GlobalSource Partners, Harvard Business Review, Haver Analytics, Inc., Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS), The Investment Funds Institute of Canada, ICE Derived Data (UK), Investment Company Institute, International Institute of Finance, JP Morgan, J TSA Advisors, LSEG Data and Analytics, MarketAxess, Metals Focus Ltd, MSCI, Inc., National Bureau of Economic Research, Neudata, Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, Pensions & Investments Research Center, Pitchbook, Political Alpha, Renaissance Capital Research, Rhodium Group, RP Data, Rubinson Research, Rystad Energy, S&P Global Market Intelligence, Sentix GmbH, SGH Macro, Shanghai Metals Market, Smart Insider Ltd., Sustainalytics, Swaps Monitor, Tradeweb, United Nations, US Department of Commerce, Visible Alpha, Wells Bay, Wind Financial Information LLC, With Intelligence, Wood Mackenzie Limited, World Bureau of Metal Statistics, World Economic Forum, YieldBook. While we consider information from external sources to be reliable, we do not assume responsibility for its accuracy. Data leveraged from third-party providers, related to financial and non-financial characteristics, may not be accurate or complete. The data and factors that Bridgewater considers within its investment process may change over time.

None of the information related to a fund or strategy that Bridgewater provides is intended to form the basis for any investment decision with respect to any retirement plan's (or any investor's) assets. Any information Bridgewater provides should be independently and critically evaluated based on whatever other sources are deemed appropriate, including legal and tax advice; it is also not intended to be impartial investment information or advice as Bridgewater can recommend one or more Bridgewater products in connection with such information, which would result in additional fees being paid to Bridgewater. Bridgewater's status as an ERISA fiduciary with respect to the management of any existing or future Bridgewater product(s) in which you invest would be (or continue to be) set forth in that product's applicable governing instruments. You are responsible for ensuring that your decision to invest in any Bridgewater product does not violate the fiduciary or prohibited transaction rules of ERISA, the US Internal Revenue Code or any applicable laws or regulations that are similar. On and after June 9, 2017, the information provided herein is being made available only to "independent fiduciaries with financial expertise" (within the meaning of the Definition of the Term "Fiduciary"; Conflict of Interest Rule – Retirement Investment Advice, 81 Fed. Reg. 20,946 (Apr. 8, 2017), available at <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2016-04-08/pdf/2016-07924.pdf>), and this presentation should not be accepted by any person who does not meet such requirements.

This presentation was written in connection with the promotion or marketing of a Bridgewater fund or strategy, and it was not intended or written to be used and cannot be used by any person for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be asserted under the US Internal Revenue Code.

In certain instances amounts and percentages in this presentation are approximate and have been rounded for presentation purposes. Statements in this presentation are made as of the date appearing on this presentation unless otherwise indicated. Neither the delivery of this presentation or the OM shall at any time under any circumstances create an implication that the information contained herein is correct as of any time subsequent to such date. Bridgewater has no obligation to inform potential or existing investors when information herein becomes stale, deleted, modified or changed. ©2025 Bridgewater Associates, LP. All rights reserved.

Risks Associated With the Use of AI Tools

Risks Associated with Use of AI Tools

In line with advances in computing technology, data analytics, and related fields, there is an increasing trend toward utilizing generative artificial intelligence, large language models, machine learning, artificial neural networks, artificial narrow intelligence, and similar tools, models, and systems generally referred to as “artificial intelligence” (collectively, “AI Tools”) as part of portfolio management, trading, portfolio risk management, and other applications in the investment management processes used by various market participants, including Bridgewater Associates, LP (“Bridgewater”).

Bridgewater utilizes AI Tools in connection with managing the Bridgewater AIA Labs Macro Strategy (the “Strategy”). Bridgewater’s artificial investment associate (“AIA”) utilizes AI Tools to generate the investment logic for the Bridgewater AIA Labs Macro Strategy that is implemented by the Strategy. AI Tools will be implemented in connection with asset allocation decisions, pre-trade and post-trade descriptive, predictive, or prescriptive analysis, as well as throughout the associated research processes. Bridgewater will utilize one or more AI Tools directly for investment and asset allocation decisions after determining that such usage and oversight (and the risks associated with them) is consistent with its obligations to the Strategy. AI Tools will also be used as part of or in connection with other aspects of managing the Strategy. While AI Tools are increasingly used by certain market participants in quantitative or algorithmic trading, AI Tools will also be used to perform sophisticated fundamental analysis (which may include the use of textual analysis) and to optimize asset allocations in the Strategy’s investment portfolio. The outputs produced from AI Tools will inevitably contain a degree of inaccuracy and error—potentially materially so—and could otherwise be inadequate or flawed, which may diminish the effectiveness of such AI Tools. While the outputs from such use of AI Tools are generally subject to review and oversight by humans such review and oversight may fail to detect errors, defects, so-called “hallucinations” (a phenomenon whereby outputs from such use of AI Tools incorporate fabricated data that appears authentic), and similar faulty results generated by AI Tools.

As the complexity of the tasks for which AI Tools are used increases, opacity can make the review and oversight process increasingly more difficult to implement. Bridgewater and the Strategy could directly or indirectly be further exposed to the risks of AI Tools if third-party service providers or any counterparties, whether or not known to Bridgewater, also use AI Tools in their business activities. Bridgewater will not be in the position to control the manner in which non-proprietary AI Tools are developed or maintained or the manner in which such other AI Tools are provided to Bridgewater and other market participants. While such risks may be mitigated as more AI Tools are developed and additional precautions are implemented, it is possible that interdependent risks will remain throughout the universe of AI Tools and may result in adverse impacts on the performance of the Strategy.

Although AI Tools have certain advantages and benefits for various applications, persons considering an initial or additional investment in the Strategy should also be aware of certain associated actual or perceived risks and downsides with respect to Strategy’s use of AI Tools. In particular, many AI Tools (including AIA) are relatively recent developments and may be subject to one or more undetected errors, defects, or security vulnerabilities. Some errors, defects, and/or security vulnerabilities may be discovered, if at all, only after an AI Tool has been used by end customers, including Bridgewater, or after substantial operations in the marketplace, and could result in significant loss of revenues or assets, or material liabilities or sanctions.




Teacher Retirement System of Texas

Performance Review:
Second Quarter 2025

Investment advice and consulting services provided by Aon Investments USA, Inc.
To protect the confidential and proprietary information included in this material, it
may not be disclosed or provided to any third parties without the approval of Aon.



Summary



In Q2 2025, the S&P 500 Index rallied as strength in growth stocks which were dominated by AI-themes outweighed uncertainty surrounding trade policy and tariffs. Non-U.S. equities continued to outpace U.S. equities, partially aided by currency appreciation versus the dollar

Global equities returned 9.3% for the quarter and returned 13.2% over a trailing 1-year period

Bonds returns were mixed as yields fell at the shorter end of the curve but rose at the longer end, leading long Treasuries to fall 1.5%

TRS returned 6.6% for the quarter which was nearly one percentage point above its benchmark

- Outperformance at the asset class level for Global Equity along with the Absolute Return allocation were the primary drivers for relative outperformance
- Real Estate was the primary detractor from relative performance.

For the trailing twelve months, TRS returned 10.8% versus the benchmark return of 9.3%


- Outperformance from the Global Equity and Real Return (specifically ENRI) asset classes along with the Absolute Return allocation were the primary drivers of relative outperformance

1. Market Summary – Second Quarter 2025

	Second Quarter	YTD	One Year	Three Years	Five Years	Ten Years
Global Equity:						
TRS All Country Benchmark	12.0%	9.5%	--	--	--	--
TF USA Benchmark	11.1%	5.8%	15.2%	19.2%	16.1%	13.1%
TRS Non-US Developed Benchmark	12.7%	18.8%	18.2%	15.5%	11.3%	6.6%
TRS Emerging Markets Benchmark	16.7%	13.6%	10.8%	9.1%	6.4%	4.7%
Customized State Street Private Equity Index (quarter lagged)	2.0%	4.3%	7.6%	3.8%	16.1%	12.2%
<i>Global Equity Policy Benchmark</i>	9.3%	8.9%	13.2%	12.1%	13.7%	9.8%
Stable Value:						
Bloomberg Long Treasury Index	-1.5%	3.1%	1.6%	-3.7%	-8.2%	0.1%
Bloomberg U.S. TIPS Index	0.5%	4.7%	--	--	--	--
SOFR + 2.5%	1.7%	3.5%	--	--	--	--
Absolute Return Benchmark	2.1%	4.3%	9.2%	9.1%	6.6%	5.1%
90 Day U.S. Treasury Bill	1.1%	2.2%	4.9%	4.8%	2.9%	2.0%
<i>Stable Value Policy Benchmark</i>	-0.2%	3.7%	4.9%	-0.7%	-4.4%	1.3%
Real Return:						
NCREIF ODCE (quarter lagged)	0.9%	1.8%	1.2%	-5.1%	2.0%	4.7%
Energy, Natural Resources & Infrastructure Benchmark	1.5%	1.8%	5.4%	6.5%	11.5%	--
Goldman Sachs Commodities Index	-2.8%	1.9%	0.3%	-0.4%	17.7%	1.5%
<i>Real Return Policy Benchmark</i>	1.1%	1.8%	2.5%	-1.7%	4.7%	5.0%
Risk Parity:						
<i>Risk Parity Benchmark</i>	3.5%	6.8%	8.3%	4.4%	3.3%	4.1%
TRS Policy Benchmark	5.7%	6.6%	9.3%	6.2%	7.6%	6.9%

2. Market Value Change

From April 1, 2025 to June 30, 2025



Summary of Cash Flows

	1 Quarter	Year to Date	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years
Total Fund					
Beginning Market Value	208,327,218,856	207,301,783,683	203,692,767,909	184,398,784,440	155,202,490,183
+ Additions / Withdrawals	-1,044,999,999	-2,078,172,233	-4,334,447,914	-9,070,387,058	-17,575,656,707
+ Investment Earnings	13,682,541,125	15,741,148,531	21,606,439,986	45,636,362,600	83,337,926,505
= Ending Market Value	220,964,759,981	220,964,759,981	220,964,759,981	220,964,759,981	220,964,759,981

3. Asset Allocation Detail


	Market Value \$ in millions) as of 6/30/2025		Interim Policy Target	Relative to Interim Policy Target	Long Term Policy Target	Long Term Policy Ranges
	(\$)	(%)				
Investment Exposure	--	104.2%	104.0%	+0.2%	104.0%	93-115%
Total All Country	\$81,258	36.8%	36.6%	+0.2%	39.0	29-44%
Non-U.S. Developed	\$11,779	5.3%	4.7%	+0.6%	5.0	0-10%
Emerging Markets	\$2,159	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1.0	-4-6%
Private Equity	\$33,678	15.2%	15.9%	-0.7%	12.0	7-19%
Global Equity	\$128,874	58.3%	58.2%	+0.1%	57.0%	50-64%
Government Bonds - Nominal	\$19,656	8.9%	9.4%	-0.5%	10.0	0-15%
Government Bonds - Real	\$6,873	3.1%	5.6%	-2.5%	6.0	0-11%
Stable Value Hedge Funds	\$10,987	5.0%	4.7%	+0.3%	5.0	0-10%
Absolute Return	\$8,616	3.9%	0.0%	+3.9%	0.0	0-10%
Stable Value	\$46,132	20.9%	19.7%	+1.2%	21.0%	14-28%
Real Estate	\$30,000	13.6%	14.3%	-0.7%	15.0	10-20%
Energy, Natural Resource and Inf.	\$14,438	6.5%	7.1%	-0.6%	6.0	1-11%
Commodities	\$312	0.1%	0.0%	+0.1%	0.0	0-5%
Real Return	\$44,750	20.3%	21.4%	-1.1%	21.0%	14-28%
Risk Parity	\$10,552	4.8%	4.7%	+0.1%	5.0	0-10%
Risk Parity	\$10,552	4.8%	4.7%	+0.1%	5.0%	0-10%
Cash	\$4,622	2.1%	2.0%	+0.1%	2.0	0-7%
Asset Allocation Leverage	-\$13,965	-6.3%	-6.0%	-0.3%	-6.0	--
Net Asset Allocation	-\$9,343	-4.2%	-4.0%	-0.2%	-4.0%	--
Total Fund	\$220,965	100%	100%	---	100.0%	--



Note: Asset allocation information shown above is based upon MOPAR reporting. The excess returns shown above may not be a perfect difference between the actual and benchmark returns due entirely to rounding.


4. Total TRS Performance Ending 6/30/2025

Return Summary




5. Total Fund Attribution – One Quarter Ending 6/30/2025

Total Fund vs. Total Fund Benchmark



Total Asset Allocation: 0.44% **Total Security Selection Value Added: 0.41%**




■ Average Active Weight ■ Asset Allocation Value Added ■ Security Selection Value Added




5. Total Fund Attribution – One Year Ending 6/30/2025

Total Fund vs. Total Fund Benchmark



Total Asset Allocation: 0.44%

Total Security Selection Value Added: 0.88%



■ Average Active Weight

■ Asset Allocation Value Added


■ Security Selection Value Added

Investment advice and consulting services provided by Aon Investments USA Inc.

Note: Month-end market values for September, October, and November 2024 were adjusted to match the beginning market values reported by State Street on the first of the following month (October, November, and December, respectively). These adjustments were made to conform to the new asset allocation targets as outlined in the IPS that was approved in September 2024.


6. Risk Profile: Total Fund Risk-Return vs. Peers

Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation 3 Years Ending June 30, 2025



	Return	Standard Deviation
■ Total Fund	7.9	8.1
● Total Fund Benchmark	6.2	8.3
— Median	8.9	7.2

Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation 5 Years Ending June 30, 2025




	Return	Standard Deviation
■ Total Fund	9.3	8.3
● Total Fund Benchmark	7.6	8.4
— Median	9.4	7.2

Note: Public Plan peer group composed of 16 and 13 public funds, for 3- and 5-year periods, with total assets in excess of \$10B as of 6/30/2025. An exhibit outlining the asset allocation of the peer portfolios is provided in the appendix of this report.

6. Risk Profile: Trailing 3-Year and 5-Year Risk Metrics Peer Comparison


Total Fund vs. All Public Plans > \$10B



	3 Years	5 Years	3 Years	5 Years	3 Years	5 Years
Total Fund	7.9 (70)	9.3 (53)	8.1 (32)	8.3 (30)	0.4 (82)	0.8 (72)
Total Fund Benchmark	6.2 (90)	7.6 (96)	8.3 (27)	8.4 (28)	0.2 (95)	0.6 (100)
5th Percentile	11.3	11.2	10.6	9.5	0.7	1.2
1st Quartile	9.7	10.4	8.4	8.5	0.7	1.1
Median	8.9	9.4	7.2	7.2	0.6	0.9
3rd Quartile	7.6	8.7	5.6	6.0	0.5	0.8
95th Percentile	5.3	7.6	3.7	5.1	0.2	0.7
Population	16	13	16	13	16	13

Parentheses contain percentile rankings.

6. TRS Performance vs. Peers (>\$10 Billion) as of 6/30/2025



	1 Quarter	Year to Date	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	10 Years	20 Years	2024	2023	2022
■ Total Fund	6.6 (12)	7.7 (19)	10.8 (22)	7.9 (70)	9.3 (53)	7.8 (32)	7.3 (40)	7.9 (80)	9.7 (72)	-10.3 (59)
● Total Fund Benchmark	5.7 (49)	6.6 (33)	9.3 (65)	6.2 (90)	7.6 (96)	6.9 (79)	6.7 (70)	5.5 (99)	7.6 (98)	-10.9 (75)
5th Percentile	8.3	9.0	13.5	11.3	11.2	8.4	7.8	10.8	13.8	-4.4
1st Quartile	6.1	6.9	10.6	9.7	10.4	8.0	7.4	10.0	12.4	-6.7
Median	5.6	6.2	9.8	8.9	9.4	7.5	7.2	9.1	11.3	-9.7
3rd Quartile	4.7	5.6	8.7	7.6	8.7	7.0	6.5	8.1	9.6	-11.0
95th Percentile	3.0	4.3	7.1	5.3	7.6	6.0	5.8	6.6	8.1	-13.2
Population	17	17	17	16	13	12	11	60	65	66

Parentheses contain percentile rankings.

7. IPS Stated Trust Return Objectives ending 6/30/2025

	Five Year	Seven Year	Ten Year	Twenty Year
Total Fund	9.3	7.8	7.8	7.3
Total Fund Benchmark	7.6	6.8	6.9	6.7
Difference	+1.7	+1.0	+0.9	+0.6
Total Fund	9.3	7.8	7.8	7.3
Assumed Rate of Return	7.1	7.2	7.4	7.7
Difference	+2.2	+0.6	+0.4	-0.4
Total Fund	9.3	7.8	7.8	7.3
CPI + 5%	9.8	8.8	8.2	7.7
Difference	-0.5	-1.0	-0.4	-0.4

Note: The excess returns shown in this presentation may differ from State Street statements due entirely to rounding. These differences are generally within a few basis points and are not material.

8. Global Equity: Performance Summary Ending 6/30/2025

	Second Quarter	YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year
Total Global Equity	10.2	10.6	14.4	12.8	14.2	9.7
Total Global Equity Benchmark	9.3	8.9	13.2	12.1	13.7	9.8
<i>Difference</i>	<i>+0.9</i>	<i>+1.7</i>	<i>+1.2</i>	<i>+0.7</i>	<i>+0.5</i>	<i>-0.1</i>
Total All Country	12.8	10.8	--	--	--	--
TRS All Country Benchmark	12.0	9.5	--	--	--	--
<i>Difference</i>	<i>+0.8</i>	<i>+1.3</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>
Non-U.S. Developed	14.1	24.8	24.3	18.8	13.0	7.4
TRS Non-U.S. Developed Benchmark	12.7	18.8	18.2	15.5	11.3	6.6
<i>Difference</i>	<i>+1.5</i>	<i>+6.0</i>	<i>+6.1</i>	<i>+3.3</i>	<i>+1.7</i>	<i>+0.8</i>
Emerging Markets	17.2	13.1	9.1	9.4	7.3	5.5
TRS Emerging Market Benchmark	16.7	13.6	10.8	9.1	6.4	4.7
<i>Difference</i>	<i>+0.5</i>	<i>-0.5</i>	<i>-1.7</i>	<i>+0.3</i>	<i>+0.9</i>	<i>+0.8</i>



Note: The excess returns shown in this presentation may differ from State Street statements due entirely to rounding. These differences are generally within a few basis points and are not material.

Investment advice and consulting services provided by Aon Investments USA Inc.

8. Global Equity: Performance Summary Ending 6/30/2025 (cont'd)

	Second Quarter	YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year
Total Public Equity	13.1	12.4	17.2	17.1	13.6	9.0
Public Equity Benchmark	12.2	10.7	15.3	15.9	12.5	9.0
<i>Difference</i>	<i>+0.9</i>	<i>+1.7</i>	<i>+1.9</i>	<i>+1.2</i>	<i>+1.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>
Total Private Equity	2.7	5.6	7.3	4.0	15.6	12.0
Private Equity Benchmark	2.0	4.3	7.6	3.8	16.1	12.2
<i>Difference</i>	<i>+0.7</i>	<i>+1.3</i>	<i>-0.3</i>	<i>+0.2</i>	<i>-0.5</i>	<i>-0.2</i>

Note: The excess returns shown in this presentation may differ from State Street statements due entirely to rounding. These differences are generally within a few basis points and are not material.

9. Stable Value: Performance Summary Ending 6/30/2025

	Second Quarter	YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year
Total Stable Value	2.1	4.8	6.1	0.6	-2.0	2.8
Total Stable Value Benchmark	-0.2	3.7	4.9	-0.7	-4.4	1.3
<i>Difference</i>	+2.3	+1.1	+1.2	+1.3	+2.4	+1.5
Government Bonds – Nominal	-2.3	1.6	-1.4	-6.3	-9.9	-0.6
Government Bonds Benchmark	-1.5	3.1	1.6	-3.7	-8.2	0.1
<i>Difference</i>	-0.8	-1.5	-3.0	-2.6	-1.7	-0.7
Government Bonds – Real	0.0	3.8	--	--	--	--
TIPS Benchmark	0.5	4.7	--	--	--	--
<i>Difference</i>	-0.5	-0.9				
Stable Value Hedge Funds	2.7	3.9	8.1	8.0	9.3	6.7
Hedge Funds Benchmark	1.7	3.5	7.1	5.9	6.4	3.9
<i>Difference</i>	+1.0	+0.4	+1.0	+2.1	+2.9	+2.8
Absolute Return	14.6	14.7	24.1	16.1	13.9	9.3
Absolute Return Benchmark	2.1	4.3	9.2	9.1	6.6	5.1
<i>Difference</i>	+12.5	+10.4	+14.9	+7.0	+7.3	+4.2



Note: The excess returns shown in this presentation may differ from State Street statements due entirely to rounding. These differences are generally within a few basis points and are not material.

Investment advice and consulting services provided by Aon Investments USA Inc.

10. Real Return: Performance Summary Ending 6/30/2025

	Second Quarter	YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year
Total Real Return	0.3	0.3	2.5	1.4	8.2	7.4
Real Return Benchmark	1.1	1.8	2.5	-1.7	4.7	5.0
<i>Difference</i>	<i>-0.8</i>	<i>-1.5</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>+3.1</i>	<i>+3.5</i>	<i>+2.4</i>
Real Estate	-0.9	-2.2	-2.3	-2.8	5.6	7.6
Real Estate Benchmark	0.9	1.8	1.2	-5.1	2.0	4.7
<i>Difference</i>	<i>-1.8</i>	<i>-4.0</i>	<i>-3.5</i>	<i>+2.3</i>	<i>+3.6</i>	<i>+2.9</i>
Energy, Natural Resources, and Infrastructure	2.7	5.3	13.2	11.6	14.2	--
Energy and Natural Res. Benchmark	1.5	1.8	5.4	6.5	11.5	--
<i>Difference</i>	<i>+1.2</i>	<i>+3.5</i>	<i>+7.8</i>	<i>+5.1</i>	<i>+2.7</i>	
Commodities	3.3	29.0	5.2	0.2	6.0	2.9
Commodities Benchmark	-2.8	1.9	0.3	-0.4	17.7	1.5
<i>Difference</i>	<i>+6.1</i>	<i>+27.1</i>	<i>+4.9</i>	<i>+0.6</i>	<i>-11.7</i>	<i>+1.4</i>

Note: The excess returns shown in this presentation may differ from State Street statements due entirely to rounding. These differences are generally within a few basis points and are not material.

11. Risk Parity: Performance Summary Ending 6/30/2025

	Second Quarter	YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year
Total Risk Parity	4.8	9.2	10.5	6.6	5.1	4.7
Risk Parity Benchmark	3.5	6.8	8.3	4.4	3.3	4.1
<i>Difference</i>	+1.3	+2.4	+2.2	+2.2	+1.8	+0.6

Note: The excess returns shown in this presentation may differ from State Street statements due entirely to rounding. These differences are generally within a few basis points and are not material.

12. Cash Equivalents: Performance Summary Ending 6/30/2025

	Second Quarter	YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year	Ten Year
Cash Equivalents	1.1	2.2	5.1	5.6	3.6	2.7
Cash Benchmark	1.1	2.2	4.9	4.8	2.9	2.0
<i>Difference</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>+0.2</i>	<i>+0.8</i>	<i>+0.7</i>	<i>+0.7</i>


Note: The excess returns shown in this presentation may differ from State Street statements due entirely to rounding. These differences are generally within a few basis points and are not material.

Appendix – Supplemental Reporting



TRS Commitment Levels vs. Peers (>\$10 Billion) as of 6/30/2025


- The chart below depicts the asset allocation of peer public funds with assets greater than \$10 billion.
 - The ends of each line represent the 95th and 5th percentile of exposures, the middle light blue and grey lines represent the 25th and 75th percentile of exposures, the purple square represents the median, and the green dot represents TRS exposure.




Historical Excess Performance Ending 6/30/2025

Total Fund vs. Total Fund Benchmark

Ratio of Cumulative Wealth - 10 Years




Quarterly Excess Performance



TRS Asset Growth

Total Fund Historical Growth (September 1997 - June 2025)



External Manager Program: Public Equity Performance as of 6/30/2025

	Allocation (\$ in billions)	Second Quarter	YTD	One Year	Three Year	Five Year
EP Total Global Equity	\$50.3	13.8	12.2	19.4	18.1	15.2
EP Global Equity Benchmark	--	11.9	9.5	15.4	16.0	12.7
<i>Difference</i>	--	+1.9	+2.7	+4.0	+2.1	+2.5
EP All Country	\$35.8	14.9	13.1	22.0	22.3	18.7
EP All Country Benchmark	--	12.0	9.5	15.6	17.1	13.7
<i>Difference</i>	--	+2.9	+3.6	+6.4	+5.2	+5.0
EP U.S.A.	\$8.7	8.8	4.3	11.6	16.7	16.7
EP U.S.A. Benchmark	--	11.1	5.8	15.2	19.2	16.1
<i>Difference</i>	--	-2.3	-1.5	-3.6	-2.5	+0.6
EP Non-U.S. Developed	\$5.1	15.5	25.6	26.2	20.7	13.5
MSCI EAFE + Canada Policy Index	--	12.7	18.8	18.2	15.5	11.3
<i>Difference</i>	--	+2.8	+6.8	+8.0	+5.2	+2.2
EP Emerging Markets	\$0.8	6.5	-38.3	-54.4	-18.7	-9.0
MSCI Emerging Markets Policy Index	--	16.7	13.6	10.8	9.1	6.4
<i>Difference</i>	--	-10.2	-51.9	-65.2	-27.8	-15.4



Note: The excess returns shown in this presentation may differ from State Street statements due entirely to rounding. These differences are generally within a few basis points and are not material.

External Manager Program: Stable Value/Total Program Performance as of 6/30/2025

	Allocation (\$ in billions)	Second Quarter	YTD	One Year	Three Year
EP Total Stable Value	\$11.0	2.7	3.9	8.1	8.0
EP Stable Value Benchmark	--	1.7	3.5	7.1	5.9
<i>Difference</i>	--	+1.0	+0.4	+1.0	+2.1
Total External Public Program	\$64.4	11.5	10.6	17.0	15.1
EP External Public Benchmark	--	9.8	8.4	13.9	13.2
<i>Difference</i>	--	+1.7	+2.2	+3.1	+1.9

Note: The excess returns shown in this presentation may differ from State Street statements due entirely to rounding. These differences are generally within a few basis points and are not material.

Public Strategic Partnership Program (SPN): Performance as of 6/30/2025

	Allocation (\$ in billions)	Second Quarter	YTD	One Year	Three Year
Public Strategic Partnership	\$3.2	9.0	8.7	12.6	10.4
Public SPN Benchmark	--	8.9	8.2	13.2	10.6
<i>Difference</i>	--	+0.1	+0.5	-0.6	-0.2
JP Morgan	\$3.2	8.2	8.2	11.2	9.7

Note: The excess returns shown in this presentation may differ from State Street statements due entirely to rounding. These differences are generally within a few basis points and are not material.

Benchmarks

Total Fund Performance Benchmark – 36.6% MSCI ACWI IMI ex CN ex HK, 4.7% MSCI EAFE plus Canada IMI ex HK, 1.0% MSCI Emerging Markets Index ex CN, 15.9% Customized State Street Private Equity Index (1 quarter lagged), 9.4% Bimbg. Long Term Treasury Index, 5.6% U.S. TIPS Daily, 4.7% SOFR + 2.5%, 2.0% Citigroup 3 Mo. T-Bill Index, 14.3% NCREIF ODCE Index (1 quarter lagged), 7.1% Energy and Natural Resources Benchmark, 4.7% Risk Parity Benchmark, and -6.0% Asset Allocation Leverage Benchmark.

Global Equity Benchmark – 63.2% MSCI ACWI IMI ex CN ex HK, 8.0% MSCI EAFE plus Canada IMI ex HK, 1.7% MSCI Emerging Markets Index ex CN, and 27.0% State Street Private Equity Index (1 quarter lagged)

- TRS All Country Benchmark – MSCI ACWI IMI ex CN ex HK
- Emerging Markets Equity Benchmark – MSCI Emerging Markets Index ex CN
- Non-US Developed Equity Benchmark– MSCI EAFE + Canada IMI ex HK
- Private Equity Benchmark – Customized State Street Private Equity Index (1 quarter lagged)*

**Effective September 2024, the SSPEI Index is modified to match the vintage year exposures of the PE portfolio and remove funds \$1 billion or less in size*

Benchmarks (cont'd)

Stable Value Benchmark – 47.7% Blmbg. Long Term Treasury Index, 28.6%, U.S. TIPS Daily, and 23.6% SOFR + 2.5%

- Government Bonds Nominal – Bloomberg Long Term Treasury Index
- Government Bonds Real – TIPS Benchmark
- Stable Value Hedge Funds – SOFR + 2.5%
- Absolute Return Benchmark - SOFR + 4%

Real Return Benchmark – 68.0% NCREIF ODCE Index and 32.0% Energy & Natural Resources Benchmark

- Real Estate Benchmark – NCREIF ODCE Index (1 quarter lagged)
- Energy and Natural Resources Benchmark – 75% Cambridge Associates Natural Resources Index (reweighted) and 25% quarterly Seasonally-Adjusted Consumer Price Index (1 quarter lagged)
- Commodities Benchmark – Goldman Sachs Commodity Index

Risk Parity Benchmark – 100% HFR Risk Parity Vol 12 Institutional Index

Asset Allocation Leverage Benchmark – SOFR + Fallback Rate

Description of Performance Attribution

- A measure of the source of the deviation of a fund's performance from that of its policy benchmark. Each bar on the attribution graph represents the contribution made by the asset class to the total difference in performance. A positive value for a component indicates a positive contribution to the aggregate relative performance. A negative value indicates a detrimental impact. The magnitude of each component's contribution is a function of (1) the performance of the component relative to its benchmark, and (2) the weight (beginning of period) of the component in the aggregate.
- The individual Asset Class effect, also called **Selection Effect**, is calculated as
$$\text{Actual Weight of Asset Class} \times (\text{Actual Asset Class Return} - \text{Asset Class Benchmark Return})$$
- The bar labeled **Allocation Effect** illustrates the effect that a Total Fund's asset allocation has on its relative performance. Allocation Effect calculation = $(\text{Asset Class Benchmark Return} - \text{Total Benchmark Return}) \times (\text{Actual Weight of Asset Class} - \text{Target Policy Weight of Asset Class})$.
- The bar labeled **Other** is a combination of Cash Flow Effect and Benchmark Effect:
 - **Cash Flow Effect** describes the impact of asset movements on the Total Fund results. Cash Flow Effect calculation = $(\text{Total Fund Actual Return} - \text{Total Fund Policy Return}) - \text{Current Selection Effect} - \text{Current Allocation Effect}$
 - **Benchmark Effect** results from the weighted average return of the asset classes' benchmarks being different from the Total Funds' policy benchmark return. Benchmark Effect calculation = $\text{Total Fund Policy Return} - (\text{Asset Class Benchmark Return} \times \text{Target Policy Weight of Asset Class})$
- **Cumulative Effect**
Cumulative Effect calculation = $\text{Current Effect } t \times (1 + \text{Cumulative Total Fund Actual Return } t-1) + \text{Cumulative Effect } t-1 \times (1 + \text{Total Fund Benchmark Return } t)$

Disclaimers and Notes



Disclaimers and Notes

Disclaimers:

- Please review this report and notify Aon Investments USA Inc. (Aon) with any issues or questions you may have with respect to investment performance or any other matter set forth herein.
- The client portfolio data presented in this report have been obtained from the custodian. Aon has compared this information to the investment managers' reported returns and believes the information to be accurate. Aon has not conducted additional audits and cannot warrant its accuracy or completeness. This document is not intended to provide, and shall not be relied upon for, accounting and legal or tax advice.
- Refer to Hedge Fund Research, Inc. www.hedgefundresearch.com for more information on HFR indices

Notes:

- The rates of return contained in this report are shown on an after-fees basis unless otherwise noted. They are geometric and time weighted. Returns for periods longer than one year are annualized.
- Universe percentiles are based upon an ordering system in which 1 is the best ranking and 100 is the worst ranking.
- Due to rounding throughout the report, percentage totals displayed may not sum up to 100.0%. Additionally, individual fund totals in dollar terms may not sum up to the plan totals.

Legal Disclosures and Disclaimers

Investment advice and consulting services provided by Aon Investments USA Inc. The information contained herein is given as of the date hereof and does not purport to give information as of any other date. The delivery at any time shall not, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been a change in the information set forth herein since the date hereof or any obligation to update or provide amendments hereto.

This document is not intended to provide, and shall not be relied upon for, accounting, legal or tax advice. Any accounting, legal, or taxation position described in this presentation is a general statement and shall only be used as a guide. It does not constitute accounting, legal, and tax advice and is based on Aon Investments' understanding of current laws and interpretation.

Aon Investments disclaims any legal liability to any person or organization for loss or damage caused by or resulting from any reliance placed on that content. Aon Investments reserves all rights to the content of this document. No part of this document may be reproduced, stored, or transmitted by any means without the express written consent of Aon Investments.

Aon Investments USA Inc. is a federally registered investment advisor with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Aon Investments is also registered with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission as a commodity pool operator and a commodity trading advisor and is a member of the National Futures Association. The Aon Investments ADV Form Part 2A disclosure statement is available upon written request to:

Aon Investments USA Inc.
200 E. Randolph Street
Suite 700
Chicago, IL 60601
ATTN: Aon Investments Compliance Officer

© Aon plc 2025. All rights reserved.

Investment Risk Report

James Nield, Chief Risk Officer

Stephen Kim, Director

September 2025




All Metrics in Compliance

<u>Risk Metric</u>	<u>Value</u>	<u>In Compliance?</u>	<u>Page(s)</u>
1. Asset Allocation (AA): Overweight Stable Value	Overweight 1.2%	✓	3 - 4
2. Drawdown Risk: VaR estimate stable	6.2% VaR	✓	5 - 6
3. Tracking Error (TE): Public TE range bound	146 bp Realized	✓	7
4. Liquidity: Remained strong	5.6x Coverage Ratio	✓	8
5. Leverage: Trust gross leverage decreased slightly	27.2% Gross	✓	9 - 10
6. Derivatives: Net notional exposure stable	13.5% Net Notional	✓	11
7. Counterparty Risk: Within Policy limits	Lowest Rating: A-	✓	11
8. Securities Lending: Utilization decreased	11.1% Utilization	✓	12


Unless otherwise noted, data presented as of June 30, 2025

Stable Value Overweight Combined with an Underweight to Real Return


Asset Class Weights Trend



Asset Class Relative Weights




Overweight Absolute Return Has Been Additive




Stable Value Provides Diversification

VaR History



VaR Contribution




Source: State Street Bank. VaR Contribution from derivatives was 0.7%

Predicted Trust Drawdowns in Line with Benchmark

Scenario Analysis


■ Total Fund ■ Benchmark




Source: State Street Bank; note: data shown are predicted drawdowns given current allocation, except for Q1 2020 and Q2 2022, which reflect realized performance

Realized Tracking Error Near Historical Norms

Asset Class Tracking Error




Historical Trust TE




Source: State Street Bank; note: current forecast tracking error uses past experiences from January 1, 2008 to June 30, 2025 and therefore includes the effects of the Global Financial Crisis; Non-US Developed had tracking error of 186 bp realized, 202 bp forecasted with a policy neutral of 300. Emerging Markets had tracking error of 225 bp realized, 457 bp forecasted with a policy neutral of 300. All Country had 158 bp forecasted with a policy neutral of 300. USA has a policy neutral TE target of 300bp but is not reported above due to its immaterial NAV balance. Govt Bonds - Real and All Country realized tracking error will be reported once 36 months of history is accumulated.

Trust Monthly Liquidity Ratio Remained Strong

Liquidity Sources and Uses



Liquidity Ratio




Sources (\$45.9) / Uses (\$8.2) = Liquidity Ratio (5.6)

Source: State Street Bank, TRS IMD


Assumptions: The stress case assumes liquid assets experience 1.5x the worst rolling monthly return since 2008 plus an additional liquidity stress. Operational uses of liquidity reflects forecasted monthly benefit payments. Stressed securities lending reflects potential costs associated with termination including a liquidity stress. Stressed non-collateralized assets and derivatives reflect margin calls based on the same market stress applied to Liquid Assets. Stressed Private Market investment estimates are informed by historical capital calls and distributions. Pre-Stress Liquidity Sources = Total Trust NAV minus illiquid public and private assets, bonds pledged as collateral, and Hedge Funds.

Hedge Fund Gross Leverage Increased But Net Leverage Steady

Risk Parity and Hedge Funds



Real Estate Loan to Value




Source: MSCI RiskMetrics, State Street Bank, TRS RE manager data, NCREIF; note: Directional Hedge Fund overlay program includes one non-Hedge Fund. HF leverage is reported on a quarter lag; DHF overlay was 85.6% on a total program size of 13.9bn ; Total Real Estate Loan to Value was 49% as of December 31, 2024 ; Real Estate Loan-to-Values are estimates based on self-reported manager data

22.4% Gross Derivative Exposure Nets Down to 13.5%


Total Trust Gross Leverage

■ Gross Derivatives ■ Other




Gross Derivative Notional by Instrument (% of Total Trust)

■ Swaps ■ Futures ■ Forwards ■ Options



Net Derivative Notional by Instrument (% of Total Trust)

■ Swaps ■ Futures ■ Forwards ■ Options



Other sources of gross leverage include the absolute value of short positions and any payables/receivables.

The most common types of derivatives for TRS

Futures

September						
Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30				


- Standardized contract to trade an asset at a given date, quantity and price

Swaps




- Exchange of cash flows of one investment for another between two counterparties

Currency Forwards



- Negotiated agreement to buy one currency for another at an agreed upon date in the future

Options



- Contract for the right, but not the obligation, to trade an asset in the future at a specific price


Derivatives are used in many ways at TRS

Futures



- Gain additional exposure without the need for additional cash
- Example: Buy additional equities for tactical overweight

Swaps




- Complete portfolio exposures to reach desired allocation
- Example: Buy Japanese equity to reduce underweight

Currency Forwards



- Hedge currency risk of a foreign investment
- Example: Convert currency risk for German building from EUR to USD

Options



- Hedge the value of specific investments
- Example: Buy a put option to lock in value of a company before TRS exits

This slide was intentionally left blank.

This slide was intentionally left blank.

In conclusion...

- Trust Allocations close to policy target
- Derivatives are used to build a better portfolio and counterparty risk is well managed
- Trust liquidity remains strong and remains a focus of our efforts

